



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,182	12/07/2004	Hajime Maekawa	MAT-8637US	4367
23122	7590	12/28/2007		
RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			EXAMINER GORTAYO, DANGELINO N	
			ART UNIT 2168	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/28/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/517,182

Applicant(s)

MAEKAWA ET AL.

Examiner

Dangelino N. Gortayo

Art Unit

2168

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 October 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 12-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In the amendment filed on 10/9/2007, claims 12 and 14 have been amended. Claim 18 has been added. The currently pending claims considered below are Claims 12-18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Humpleman et al. ("Humpleman" US Patent 7,043,532 B1)

As per claim 12, Humpleman teaches "An electronic device configured to be used with an access device and a server device having operation screen information," (see Abstract)

"comprising: an operation screen information storage part which stores operation screen information that is information to configure a screen for operating one of the electronic device and another electronic device;" (Figure 3, 10, column 4 line 59 –

column 5 line 19, column 9 lines 6-19, wherein graphical control object (GCO) is stored that contains user interface description for services implemented on the device)

“an operation information transmission part which transmits the operation information at a request of the access device” (column 5 lines 1-32; column 8 lines 3-18, column 9 lines 20-26 and 49-63, column 14 lines 6-13 and 51-54, column 17 lines 44-51, wherein a client device transmits attribute accesses the GCO data of a controlled server device, and that a device manager can control device accessing) “the access device having a server identifier of the server device stored in advance and requesting a locator of the electronic device from the server device using the server identifier stored in advance such that the operation information is transmitted after the access device receives the locator of the electronic device from the server device;” (Figure 11, column 5 lines 1-11, lines 20-32, column 6 lines 4-18, column 8 lines 7-22, column 9 lines 6-26, column 9 line 50 – column 10 line 3, column 23 lines 28-50, wherein a controlling client device uses a session manager, the client device containing Device Location data and capabilities data table, to identify and operate devices using a GCO stored in the client device)

“a device operation screen information reception part which accepts device operation information;” (column 5 lines 1-11, column 8 lines 4-11, column 11 lines 35-45, column 17 lines 49-51, wherein a controlled server device receives the GCO or attribute information from a client device, in HTML or XML format)

“and a device drive part which operates based on the device operation information that the device operation screen information reception part has accepted.”

(column 8 lines 7-11, column 14 lines 34-46, column 17 line 57 – column 18 line 4, wherein once the device interface is accepted, native operation based on the device is executed)

As per claim 13, Humpleman teaches “a device operation information setting part which stores the device operation information accepted by the device operation information reception part,” (column 15 lines 41-55, column 17 lines 44-56, and column 18 lines 5-16) “wherein the device drive part operates based on the device operation information stored by the device operation information setting part.” (column 14 lines 44-48, column 18 lines 13-16, column 25 lines 15-24)

As per claim 14, Humpleman teaches “An information processing method to be used in an electronic device configured to be used with an access device and a server device,” (see Abstract)

“comprising: an operation information transmission step of transmitting operation information that is information to operation of one of the electronic device, at a request;” (column 5 lines 1-32, column 8 lines 3-18, column 9 lines 20-26 and 49-63, column 14 lines 6-13 and 51-54, column 17 lines 44-51, wherein a client device transmits attribute accesses the GCO data of a controlled server device, and that a device manager can control device accessing)

“a server identification storing step of storing a server identifier of the server device, in the access device;” (Figure 11, column 5 lines 1-11, column 9 line 50 –

column 10 line 3, wherein a controlling client device contains a GCO obtained from a server device to identify server devices)

“a locator requesting step of requesting a locator of the electronic device from the server device using the server identifier stored in the access device in advance such that the operation information is transmitted after the access device receives the locator of the electronic device from the server device;” (column 5 lines 20-32, column 6 lines 4-18, column 8 lines 7-22, column 9 lines 6-26, column 9 line 50 – column 10 line 3, column 23 lines 28-50, wherein a controlling client device uses a session manager, the client device containing Device Location data and capabilities data table, to identify and operate devices using a GCO stored in the client device)

“a device operation information reception step of accepting device operation information;” (column 5 lines 1-11, column 8 lines 4-11, column 11 lines 35-45, column 17 lines 49-51, wherein a controlled server device receives the GCO or attribute information from a client device, in HTML or XML format)

“and a device drive step of operating based on the device operation information accepted at the device operation information reception step.” (column 8 lines 7-11, column 14 lines 34-46, column 17 line 57 – column 18 line 4, wherein once the device interface is accepted, native operation based on the device is executed)

As per claim 15, Humpleman teaches “a device operation information setting step of storing the device operation information accepted at the device operation information reception step,” (column 15 lines 41-55, column 17 lines 44-56, and column 18 lines 5-16) “wherein an operation is carried out based on the device operation

information stored at the device operation information setting step, at the device drive step.” (column 14 lines 44-48, column 18 lines 13-16, column 25 lines 15-24)

As per claim 16, Humpleman teaches “the operation information storage part includes an operation screen storage part which stores operation screen information to configure a screen for operating one of the electronic device and another electronic device;” (column 5 lines 1-11, column 6 lines 4-18)

“the operation information transmission part includes the operation screen information transmission part which transmits the operation screen information at the request of the access device, the operation screen information is transmitted after the access device receives the locator of the electronic device from the server device;” (column 6 lines 4-18, column 7 lines 10-16)

“the device operation information reception part includes a device operation screen information reception part which accepts device operation screen information; and the device drive part operates based on the device operation information that the device operation screen information reception part has accepted.” (column 8 lines 3-40)

As per claim 17, Humpleman teaches “the operation information transmission step includes transmitting operation screen information that is information to configure a screen for operating one of the electronic device and another electronic device, at the request.” (column 5 lines 1-11, lines 43-65)

As per claim 18, Humpleman teaches “the server device stores a set of identifiers corresponding to access devices that are permitted to access the electronic

device;" (column 7 line 59—column 8 line 2, column 8 lines 31-40, column 19 lines 47-67, column 23 lines 28-50)

"and the operation information is transmitted after the server matches an access device identifier sent by the access device to one of the stored identifiers of the set of stored identifiers." (column 5 lines 1-11, lines 43-65, column 20 lines 1-27, column 23 lines 28-50)

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see page 5, filed 10/9/2007, with respect to the rejection of claims 12-15 under 35 USC 102(e) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. Examiner is entitled to give claim limitations their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification. See MPEP 2111 [R-I]

Interpretation of Claims-Broadest Reasonable Interpretation

During patent examination, the pending claims must be 'given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification.' Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 162 USPQ 541,550-51 (CCPA 1969).

b. Applicant's argument is stated Humpleman does not disclose an access device storing, in advance, the server identifier of the server device.

In regards to this argument, Examiner respectfully disagrees. As outlined in the above rejection, the Graphical control data (GCO) data of a controlling client device provides operation information to a controlled client device. The client device controls various server devices identified by specific identifiers, for example SERVER1 and SERVER 2 (column 7 lines 59-67). As stated in column 8 lines 7-22, the server device can communicate with other server devices as well as the controlling client device using a GCO passed between the server device and the controlling client device. Column 9 lines 6-26 and column 9 line 50 – column 19 line 3 of Humpleman further teaches that each device in the network stores a capabilities data table and a attribute data table that contains device identification for other devices in the network. The capabilities data table contains a column to identify a device being a source or a sink, which means that a source sends out data and a sink receives data from other devices. The attribute table disclosed also has DefaultSource or DefaultSink identifiers, which are loaded in prior to usage of the device and identifies the devices controlling it or the devices it controls. The cited portion of Humpleman (column 16 lines 54-62) in the arguments page 5 merely disclose an embodiment of server device to other server device communication architecture, and is not directly pertinent to the previously disclosed teachings of Humpleman used to reject the claims of the instant application. Therefore, Humpleman teaches an access device storing, in advance, the server identifier of the server device.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dangelino N. Gortayo whose telephone number is (571)272-7204. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim T. Vo can be reached on (571)272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
10/517,182
Art Unit: 2168

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dangelino N. Gortayo
Examiner



Tim T. Vo
SPE



TIM VO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100